

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. Box 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

## MAIL

**HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. **SUITE 1200** WASHINGTON DC 20006-1109

JUL 1 9 2004

DIRECTOR OFFICE **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

In re Application of

Kimball C. Chen, et al.

Application No. 10/662,940

Filed: September 16, 2003

For: ELECTRONIC MESSAGE DELIVERY SYSTEM UTILIZABLE IN THE MONITORING AND

CONTROL OF REMOTE EQUIPMENT AND METHOD OF SAME

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the renewed petition to make special filed May 14, 2004 under Manual of Patent Examination Procedure §708.02, VIII requesting accelerated examination.

The petition under Manual of Patent Examination Procedure §708.02, VIII, must:

- (1) be filed prior to receiving any examination by the examiner,
- (2) be accompanied by the required fee-\$130,
- (3) the claims should be directed to a single invention (if it is determined that the claims pertain to more than one invention, then applicant will have to make an election without traverse or forfeit accelerated examination status),
- (4) state that a pre-examination search was made, and fully discuss the search method employed, such as classes and subclasses searched, publications, Chemical abstracts, patents, etc. A search made by a foreign patent office satisfies this requirement,
- (5) be accompanied by a copy of each of the references most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record,
- (6) fully discuss the references, pointing out with the particularity required by 37 C.F.R. §1.111 (b) and (c), how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.

The petitioner's renewed petition taken together with the originally filed petition to make special filed on January 22, 2004 meets all the above-listed requirements. Accordingly, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The application will retain its special status throughout its entire prosecution, including any appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, subject only to diligent prosecution by the applicant. The application file is being forwarded to the examiner for appropriate action in due course.

Krista Zele

Special Program Examiner Technology Center 2600

Communications